#277



December 9, 2009

Co-Chair Toni Harp Co-Chair John Geragosian Senator Dan Debicella Representative Craig Miner Ten Alcap Ridge Cromwell, Connecticut 06416 Telephone: 860-613-2041 Toll Free: 866-521-ICPA Fax: 860-632-1122 Web: www.icpa.ore

Appropriations Committee,

We are writing you today on behalf of the owners of more than 1,400 service stations in our state concerning the devastating effect that line 16 of the Governors November 2009 Deficit Mitigation Plan would have on those businesses, tax revenues collected by those businesses, gasoline prices and the environment. In line 16 of the plan, the Underground Storage Tank Program would be cut by \$1.5 million from the current level of \$4.9 million. This is the second cut to this program in the last year, a program paid for through the state's assessment of the petroleum gross earnings tax since 1992. Each time this program is cut the state is diverting funds away from its dedicated purpose as provided by Connecticut law since 1992.

During the past thirty years the federal government has taken several steps dealing with underground petroleum storage tanks, [USTs], including the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (Pub. L 98-616) and the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-499) (42 U.S.C. 6921, 6991, 6991(a), 6991(b) 6991(c), 6991(d), 6991(e), 6991(f), and 6991(h)), and others.

Among these steps has been the requirement that owners of commercial underground petroleum storage tanks have "evidence of financial responsibility," the ability to demonstrate the financial wherewithal to clean up discharges and compensate third-parties for damages sustained as a result of discharges from USTs. [42 U.S.C. § 6991b (d)].

Further, the Congress in writing the requirements for evidence of financial responsibility recognized the difficulty with obtaining commercial insurance policies to meet the requirements, and included provision at [42 U.S.C. § 6991c [1]] for states to construct funds to provide the evidence of financial responsibility required by federal law. In short, without the commercial tank fund, the majority of the state's commercial UST owners would find it nearly impossible to meet the federal evidence of financial responsibility requirements and, as a result, face forced closure.

These programs are administered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the federal regulations concerning evidence of financial responsibility are found at 40 CFR 280. States manage state programs that implement federal requirements so long as the U.S. EPA agrees that a state meets minimal federal guidelines.

## Appropriations Committee Page 2.

In 1989 the State of Connecticut enacted legislation creating a state-held fund to provide evidence of financial responsibility for the owners of commercial USTs in accordance with federal law.

Accordingly, the proposed new cut in the funding of the Underground Storage Tank Program potentially threatens the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) certification of the Tank Program, per the federal financial responsibility requirements that UST owners must comply with to operate.

At its peak, the Underground Storage Tank Program cleaned up nearly \$20 million in UST leaks. In 2003 the funding was cut to \$12 million and cut once again this September to \$4.9 million. These cuts are in stark contract to the needs of the environment and the businesses that rely on it to ensure that gas stations continue to operate and the environment is clean.

Until this September, the petroleum gross earnings tax (GET) was the dedicated revenue stream that funded the Tank Fund. In 2008 the GET generated nearly \$368 million in revenue while the Tank Fund paid out just under \$12 million in claims (see attached).

Given the numerous cuts the Underground Storage Tank Program has sustained over the years, we managed to bring good news in 2007 as a private funding firm, NatLUST, came to Connecticut. NatLUST provided rapid payment of claims to claimants who preferred taking a discount of their claims amounts in exchange for not waiting several months for the Department of Environmental Protection to make necessary payments. Unfortunately, with the proposed new cut in the Underground Storage Tank Program, NatLUST informed us as of the date of this letter that they believe the fund would be too small to generate necessary payments back to them that they would make to claimants and that they would cease doing business in Connecticut if this new, proposed cut stood.

That NatLUST would leave our state, and that this proposed cut would stand, jeopardizes a program we have long paid for and that is absolutely necessary for us to continue to legally operate under EPA Evidence of Financial Responsibility requirements.

## Appropriations Committee Page 3.

We ask that you reconsider this proposed cut and remove the language in line 16 as it pertains to the Underground Tank Program before many businesses, tax revenue, gasoline prices, jobs and the environment are devastated.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully;

Eugene A. Guilford, Jr.

President